

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-347-2019

Date of decision: - 10.01.2019

Jai Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

The present writ petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking restoration of the deductions made from the payment Additional Dearness Allowance.

In support of the claim, the petitioner is relying upon the judgments of this Court passed in CWP No. 4518 of 2000 dated 11.08.2003; CWP No. 16084 of 1997, dated 27.07.2000; CWP No.2757 of 1998, dated 27.07.2000; and judgment of Hon'ble the Supreme Court passed in Civil Appeal No.8661 of 2009, dated 08.12.2015.

Counsel for the petitioner states that in order to claim the relief, as prayed in the present writ petition, the petitioner has submitted the representations (Annexures P-1 to P-3) to the respondents but the same have not been decided so far.

Counsel for the petitioner further states that the petitioner will be satisfied, in case a time bound direction is given to the respondents to decide the representations (Annexures P-1 to P-3).

Without going into the merits of the case and without commenting upon the entitlement of the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the representations (Annexures P-1 to P-3) of the petitioners by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

January 10, 2019
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No