

COCN No. 2850 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision: 17.01.2019

Ram Phal

...Petitioner

Versus

Vineet Garg and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAURPresent: Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for the respondents.

NIRMALJIT KAUR,J. (ORAL)

Mr. C.D. Sangwan, SE/ADMN-I, HVPNL, Panchkula for respondent No.3 and Mr. B.K. Raghava, XEN/TS Division, HVPNL, Rohtak for respondent No.4 are present in pursuance to the last order dated 01.11.2018.

The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of order dated 05.07.2018 vide which a direction was issued to the respondents to take a conscious decision by way of a speaking order with respect to the representation of the petitioner seeking pensionary benefits including Gratuity and commutation alongwith interest.

Short reply by way of affidavit on behalf of respondent No.4 has been filed stating therein that the order has been complied with and the pensionary benefits have been released to the petitioner.

In view of the same, this Court does not find any purpose to proceed with the contempt petition. The same is dismissed.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

However, the petitioner argues that question of interest has not been

decided inspite of the delay in disbursement of pension.

In view of the same, the respondents shall consider the question of interest and pass a speaking order with respect to the same within one month from today. In case, the petitioner is entitled to the interest, the same shall be disbursed with one another month of the said decision taken by the department.

In case, needful is not done within stipulated period, the petitioner is at liberty to revive the contempt petition.

17.01.2019

renu bala

**(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No