

SMRITI
2019.11.20 10:20
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

VS.

... Petitioners

.. Respondents

Present : Mr.Harsh Vardhan Shehrawat, Advocate for
Mr. J.S.Chahal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, Senior Panel Counsel for UOI.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the present contempt petition was filed on the basis of an interim order dated 21.12.2012 wherein it was ordered that petitioners shall be permitted to have access to their land after showing requisite documents in this regard. The access was for the purpose of tilling the land. He further submits that the writ petition No.26130 of 2012 was withdrawn on 15.09.2015 for availing the remedy under the Land Revenue Act, 1887.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners is not in a position to contradict the above-said position due to lack of instructions.

The contempt petition is disposed of. However, petitioners shall be at liberty to revive the same if something still survives.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No