

**212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-628-2019

Date of decision: February 13, 2019

Paramjit Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. C.L. Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramdeep Partap Singh, DAG, Punjab.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J.(ORAL)

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.0323 dated 17.10.2018, registered under Sections 363, 366-A, 380, 376 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 3, 4 of Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 at Police Station Shimlapuri, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

Petitioner is not named in the FIR. However, in the statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C., it is alleged that when prosecutrix came out of the house, at that time, she was having Rs.2.5 lakh in her possession and that amount has been taken by one Partap Singh who has further handed over the said amount to his brother namely, Paramjit Singh (present petitioner). No allegation of abduction or rape has been levelled against the petitioner.

Learned State counsel has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation; nothing is to be recovered from him and he is no more required for custodial interrogation.

In the circumstances, without going into the merits of the case, I do not deem it appropriate to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case. Ordered accordingly.

Resultantly, the interim order dated 10.01.2019 is made absolute subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Petition stands allowed.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

February 13, 2019
m. sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No