

395

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2955 of 2012
Date of Decision: 15.11.2019**

Rohtash Singh and another

Petitioners

Versus

Narender Yadav, Estate Officer-I, HUDA and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Rubai J. Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gagandeep Singh Wasu, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that *in spite of status quo* regarding possession granted on 30.08.2001 in CWP No.12143 of 2000, the constructions were demolished by the respondents. She candidly submits that the main writ petition has been disposed of on 21.05.2014, whereby the acquisition was set aside with liberty to the authorities to re-acquire the land subject to the provisions of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013. It was further ordered that for one year, the nature of the land would not be changed and petitioners were prohibited from selling the land.

Due to lack of instructions, learned counsel for the petitioners is not in a position to state that as to whether land has

been re-acquired or not.

Considering the fact that main petition has been disposed of, no interference is called for in the contempt petition. However, petitioners would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for claiming damages for alleged demolition of the construction.

The contempt petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

November 15, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |