

394

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2908 of 2012 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.11.2019**

M/s Jatindra Construction Company

Petitioner

Versus

A. Venu Parsad, Excise and Taxation Commissioner of Punjab and
others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. S.C. Nagpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Anil Rathee, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that only grievance surviving is with regard to calculation of interest and respondents have not provided the detailed calculation with regard to interest which the petitioner was found entitled to.

Learned State counsel submits that calculation as to how interest has been awarded would be provided within three months from today.

In view of statement made, contempt petition is disposed of.

Needless to add that the petitioner would be at liberty to

avail remedies in accordance with law in case aggrieved of calculation of interest.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

November 15, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes / No
2. Whether reportable

:

Yes / No