

102+208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-17965-CII-2019 in/and
COC-2727-2018

Date of decision: 26.11.2019

SANTOSH KUMARI

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

RAJEEV ARORA AND ORS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S.Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

CM-17965-CII-2019

Allowed as prayed for.

Calculation sheet is taken on record subject to just exceptions.

Main case:-

Legal representatives of Lal Chand Aggarwal filed a writ petition challenging the punishment of removal from services. The writ petition was allowed on 24.07.2015 and the punishment was converted to compulsory retirements w.e.f. 05.11.1990. It was ordered that the petitioner would be entitled to superannuation benefits till the date of his death i.e. 01.11.2000 and thereafter legal representatives would be entitled to family pension etc. It was further ordered that in case, the payment is not made within four months from the date of receipt of the order, legal representatives would be entitled to interest at the rate of 8% per annum.

Both the parties are, *ad idem*, that a sum of Rs. 35,00,000/- (approx.) on account of arrears, benefits and interest has been paid during the pendency of the contempt proceedings.

The dispute now survives is with regard to the calculations of the amounts found due and interest.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record the calculations of the due amount as per the petitioner.

To resolve the issue, let the respondent treat the calculations filed with the application as representation and decide the same in accordance with law after providing an opportunity of hearing. The needful would be done within three months from today. In case, any amount is found due, the same would be disbursed within two months thereafter.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of.

In case, any grievance arises with regard to the order passed by the respondent, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

26th November, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*