

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.667-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision : 10.01.2019

Amarjit Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Paramjit Kaur

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr.Sunny K. Singla, Advocate for the petitioner

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks quashing of impugned order dated 19.1.2018 (Annexure P4) passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Sangrur and the order dated 6.6.2017 (Annexure P2) passed by learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Malerkotla in a complaint titled as Paramjit Kaur vs. Amarjit Singh and others under Sections 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 506, 120-B IPC vide which the petitioner was summoned to face trial under Sections 420, 465, 468, 471, 506 IPC.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

Present petitioner -accused is the real brother of the complainant. Complainant alleges that her father had died in the year 1988. She had not appeared at the time of mutation proceedings and that her signatures were forged by producing some other lady in her place and consequently forgery has been committed in getting mutation sanctioned.

I am of the view that the trial Court has prima facie examined the evidence. Allegations of impersonation and forgery are serious in nature. The trial Court after recording the preliminary evidence has summoned the petitioner, whereas two other co-accused are stated to have been discharged.

There is no illegality or infirmity in the order passed by the trial Court as well as by the revisional Court.

Resultantly, the present petition stands dismissed.

10.01.2019
gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No