

223

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2703 of 2018
Date of Decision: 11.09.2019**

Ram Dayal

Petitioner

Versus

Amarjeet Singh Gujral, Addl. D.C. (Development)-cum-Chief
Executive Officer, Rupnagar

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. H.S. Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. N.P.S. Mann, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 09.10.2015 passed by this Court in CWP No.21724 of 2015.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that order has been passed deciding the notice dated 16.09.2015 and payment found due has been made. Now, the only dispute remains is that directions to consider the claim of interest has not been complied with.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that apart from non-compliance of directions to consider the claim of interest, recovery of ₹30,000/- has been wrongly made. He seeks liberty to

avail remedies for redressal of the said grievance.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that needful with regard to issue of interest will be done within four weeks from today after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

The petitioner shall visit the office of the respondent on 24.09.2019 at 11:00 A.M., the respondent shall pass an order on claim of interest.

The contempt petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail remedies in accordance with law against the recovery made and also in case there is grievance with regard to order passed with regard to interest.

In case reasoned order is not passed within four weeks, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

September 11, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No