

CRM-11510-2019 in/and
CRM-M-481-2019

-2-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-11510-2019 in/and
CRM-M-481-2019
Date of Decision: 29.04.2019

Varkha

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Shehbaz Thind, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

...

Inderjit Singh, J. (Oral)

CRM-11510-2019

Application is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Annexure
P-4 is taken on record.

CRM-M-481-2019

Petitioner Varkha has filed this petition under Section 439 of
the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR
No.15 dated 29.05.2018, registered at Police Station Tibba, District
Ludhiana, under Sections 302 and 120-B of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued.

Learned State counsel appeared and contested the instant
petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned State counsel and gone through the record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the present
petitioner has not been named in the FIR. She has not caused any injury.

She has no motive at this stage to cause the murder of Surjit Kaur.

From the record, I find that the FIR in the present case has been got registered by complainant Manjit Singh son of Surjit Kaur (deceased). As per the FIR, he is the eye-witness, who has seen main accused Rajbir Kashyap @ Raju causing injury to her mother Surjit Kaur (deceased) with iron rod for the purpose of robbing her. Later on, during investigation, it has been found that Rajbir Kashyap @ Raju gave the injury to Surjit Kaur (deceased) to help his friend Jyoti and he was introduced by the present petitioner to Jyoti for committing the offence in this case. The allegation against the present petitioner is regarding hatching of conspiracy.

The petitioner has been in custody since 29.05.2018. The complainant/eye-witness has already been examined in this case. She is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as she is in judicial custody. The trial of the case may take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping her in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to her furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

29.04.2019
Jasmine Kaur

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No