

215

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 2683 of 2018

DECIDED ON: DECEMBER 11, 2019

RAJESH KATYAL

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

VIKAS GOYAL

.....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. D.K. Tuteja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Rao, Advocate for
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the payments of arrears have been made but the grievance is that the juniors of the petitioner were drawing more salary. For the redressal of the said grievance, he seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law.

Disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

DECEMBER 11, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*