

231

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2677 of 2018
Date of Decision: 30.10.2019**

C.K. Thakur

Petitioner

Versus

Usha Thakur

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Shivanshu Malik, Advocate for
Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Kumar Jain, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The dispute between the parties is with regard to statement made before the District Judge, Family Court, Gurgaon for amicably resolving the dispute. It was agreed that the husband shall pay an amount of ₹4,500/- per month to the wife and she shall get installed a separate Electricity Meter in her portion of the premises. The contempt petition has been filed alleging that needful has not been done by the wife with regard to separate Electricity Meter.

Learned counsel for the respondent-wife states that delay occurred as there were certain litigations with regard to area in which dwelling unit is situated. He further states that electricity department has sanctioned a separate electric connection but the petitioner is not permitting the officials to connect the same.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions from Sh. C.K. Thakur, Petitioner, who is present in the Court as verified by his counsel, undertakes that petitioner will not create any hinderance in installing new Electricity Meter in the premises occupied by the respondent-wife. He further states that in case some wiring is required to be altered, he will not object to the same but the said alteration would be done on the expenses of respondent-wife.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that in case no hinderance is caused, new Electricity Meter would be installed within two weeks from today.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

October 30, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No