

221

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2644 of 2018
Date of Decision: 27.09.2019**

Rajwinder Singh @ Bhola

Petitioner

Versus

Kanwalpreet Brar, IAS, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,
Ludhiana

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. L.S. Sekhon, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Bazaz, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 06.12.2017 passed by this Court in CWP No.27699 of 2017.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced as under:-

“We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner. Suffice to observe that the writ petition raises several disputed question of facts which cannot be effectively adjudicated by this Court on merits. However, since the petitioner has already served the authorities with a legal notice, the writ petition is disposed of without expressing any views on merits with a direction to the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation,

Ludhiana to look into the grievance raised by the petitioner and take necessary remedial steps, if so required, within a period of two months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.”

Pursuant to notice of motion, reply dated 07.01.2019 annexing order dated 04.01.2019 was filed by the respondent. The legal notice of the petitioner has been decided.

In view of order passed, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

The contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

September 27, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No