

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2629 of 2018
Date of decision: 19.9.2019

Shiv Raj Singh

.. Petitioner

v.

Sudhir Rajpal and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of directions of this Court dated 28.2.2018 passed in CWP No. 4854 of 2018. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“That being so, the petition is disposed of, at this stage, only with a direction to the respondents that, if indeed, any such representation, as indicated above, is pending before the respondents, the same shall be considered and dealt with within a period of 3 months from the receipt of certified copy of this order. And appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed.”

On the last date, learned counsel for the State filed two separate replies on behalf of the respondents. With the reply filed by way of affidavit of Mr. K. Selvaraj, IPS, Director General of Prisons, Haryana,

Sector 14, Panchkula, an order dated 27.7.2018 is annexed.

Learned State counsel has produced a copy of demand draft and receipt of payment of ₹20,000/- paid as costs.

Learned State counsel submits that by passing order dated 27.7.2018, the directions of this Court have been complied with.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition, however, he seeks liberty to avail remedies available in accordance with law for redressal of the grievance, if any.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

19.9.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No