

112  
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA  
10/1/2019 16:30  
I attest to the accuracy and  
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-663-2019 (O/M)  
Date of decision : 11.1.2019

Mukesh Malhotra ..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate,  
for petitioner.

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for petitioner contends due to financial loss in business, brother of petitioner owed some money to private respondents No. 4 to 7. On their complaint, petitioner is being repeatedly called to police station.

Without issuing notice to respondents, petition is disposed of with a direction to official respondents No. 1 to 3 not to summon petitioner in police station without issuing notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. and ensure that he is not repeatedly summoned without specifying reasons in writing, so as not to harass petitioner.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

11.1.2019  
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No