

COCP No. 2595 of 2018 (O&M)
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 20, 2019

TARLOK SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

ER. BALDEV SINGH SRAN AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Brijesh Nandan, Advocate
for the petitioner.Mr. Akshay Rawal, Advocate
for the respondents.**AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)**

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 16.02.2018 passed in CWP No. 3574 of 2018.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

“ Without expressing any opinion on merits of the case but considering the aforesaid aspects as has been unfolded by the learned counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice (Annexure P-11) and to take a conscious decision within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, particularly in the light of the instructions as well as the judgments referred to above in para 1 of this order. In case, competent authorities come to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed, the same be released to him within a period of next 45 days. The grant of interest in view of judgment passed by Full Bench of this Court in case **A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab & Ors., 1997 (3) SCT 468** as well as judgment passed by this

*Court in CWP No.8772 of 2015 captioned as **Charan Dass vs. State of Punjab & Ors.** decided on July 11, 2017, shall also be considered on delayed payment(s).”*

Vide order dated 17.07.2019 bailable warrants were issued for the sum of ₹10,000/- with one surety of like amount against the Chief Engineer, PSPCL, Jalandhar to ensure his presence.

Today, Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Chief Engineer, PSPCL is present in Court and states that pursuant to the directions given by this Court vide order dated 16.02.2018 in CWP No. 3574 of 2018, order has been passed.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be allowed to withdraw the present petition with liberty to challenge the order.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

The surety furnished by respondent be released.

AUGUST 20, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***
Whether reportable ***Yes/No***