

217

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 2580 of 2017
DECIDED ON: AUGUST 19, 2019

JUGRAJ SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

ANURAG AGGARWAL AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Rajesh Bhatehja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Jugait, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 02.02.2017 passed by this Court in CWP No. 7186 of 2015. The relevant portion of the order is quoted below:

“ As such the petition is partly allowed to the extent that the State is directed to release the entire 100% pension alongwith arrears to the petitioner, subject to the undertaking to be given by the petitioner that on the conclusion of the judicial proceedings, if an adverse order is passed against him and if the State decides to proceed under Section 2.2 (b) of the Punjab Civil Services Rules and passes adverse order, he shall be liable to deposit the part of the pension which is sought to be recovered from him or the same can be recovered from the Gratuity withheld by the State. It is further

ordered that except gratuity, all the other retiral benefits which are still due to the petitioner, shall be released alongwith interest as per the Government instructions.”

The only grievance raised by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the interest has not been paid.

Learned State counsel has handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner a cheque amounting to ₹29,903/- bearing No. 000329, dated 22.07.2019 for interest amount. The copy is taken on record.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Jasvir Singh, office of Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga states that though the details of calculation of interest has not been provided but in case the petitioner approaches, the same would be provided to him within two weeks thereafter.

In view of above, no cause of action survives in the present contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

In case there is any grievance with regard to the interest calculated, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail the remedies in accordance with law.

AUGUST 19, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes/No***
Whether reportable ***Yes/No***