

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-600-2019

Date of decision: 14.1.2019

Gaurav Kamboj and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr.Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioners

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of direction to the official respondents to consider and decide the representation dated 16.8.2018 (Annexure P-4) as respondent No.4 has committed embezzlement, which falls under the disqualification contemplated under Section 175 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 and take action against him.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage, he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No. 2- Deputy Commissioner, Karnal to consider and decide the representation dated 16.8.2018 (Annexure P-4) expeditiously.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. SK Saini, AAG, Haryana accepts notices on behalf of the respondent-State. A complete set of paper book has been supplied to him in the Court.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2- Deputy Commissioner, Karnal to consider and decide the representation dated 16.8.2018 (Annexure P-4) within six weeks from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

14.1.2019
gsv

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned?

Yes / No

Whether reportable?

Yes / No