

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No. 2528 of 2018

Date of Decision: 01.10.2019

Gulshan Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Dhanpat Singh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. C.L. Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

C.M.No. 20527-CII of 2019

Application is allowed. Rejoinder along with Annexures P6 to
P8 are taken on record.

COCN No. 2528 of 2018

The writ petition was filed by the petitioner for direction to respondents to decide the representation with regard to his claim of pay for the period w.e.f. 8.7.2002 till 10.4.2008. The writ petition was allowed. The direction was issued that salary for the period w.e.f. 8.7.2002 till 10.4.2008 be released within three months alongwith interest at the rate of 6% per annum.

The present contempt petition was filed that the payments have not been made.

Pursuant to notice of motion, reply was filed annexing the details of payment drawn for the petitioner totalling to ₹8,50,095/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that only an amount of ₹8,16,220/- has been credited on different dates in the account of the petitioners and not ₹8,50,095/-. He further states that the interest has not been correctly calculated and there is grievance with regard to calculation of amount found due.

Learned counsel for respondents states that the respondents will look into the difference of amount found due as per Annexure R2 and the amount credited in the account of the petitioner. In case anything is found due, the same would be credited within four weeks from today, otherwise, reasons would be communicated to the petitioner for the said difference. He further states that in case, the petitioner approaches the respondents with a representation with regard to error in calculation of the amount or the interest, the same shall decide within three months from receipt and after providing an opportunity of hearing. Needless to say that in case the petitioner is aggrieved of the order passed by the respondents, he would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

01.10.2019

reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No