

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No. 2504 of 2017

Date of Decision: 04.10.2019

Roshan Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Ashwini Kumar Raheja and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Anirudh Indora, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Tacoria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Gagandeep Singh Wasu, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

The petitioner filed a writ petition challenging the denial of benefit of Assured Career Progression Scheme (ACPS) and increments on the ground that the petitioner had not passed the type test. The writ petition was allowed on 2.7.2015. The condition imposed in the promotion order regarding passing of type test was quashed. The respondent was directed to work out the benefits payable to the petitioner and to release the same within three months alongwith interest at the rate of 8%.

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of directions of this Court.

Pursuant to notice, short reply by way of affidavit of Mr. Vinod Kumar Goyal, Executive Engineer, Sub Urban Division No.1, UHBVNL, Karnal, has been filed today in Court, same is taken on record and copy supplied to counsel for the petitioner.

As per the pleadings, the petitioner has been granted Ist and 2nd ACPs. Arrears of increments and pay fixation along with interest has been paid. Receipt of payments of ₹1,49,540/-, ₹4,56,081/- and ₹12,58,774/- are annexed with the affidavit.

In view of the reply filed, no further interference is called for in the contempt petition. However, in case, some grievance still survives, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law including challenging the amount found due.

Disposed of.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

04.10.2019

reema

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No