

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 2488 of 2017

Date of Decision: 21.08.2019

Sheela Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

K.K. Khandelwal and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 8.2.2017 passed in CWP No. 8380 of 2016.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

"Therefore, it is held that the petitioners are also entitled for the similar benefit of payment of arrears for 38 months prior to the filing of the present writ petition. Needless to say that the same pay scale shall also be released to the petitioners w.e.f. 01.01.1996. The needful be done within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order."

Learned State counsel on instructions from Mr. Rajat o/o DEP, Rohtak states that the amount has been calculated and the case forwarded to the office of Accountant General Haryana on 17.8.2019. He submits that the payment shall be released to the petitioner within six weeks from today. He has handed over the copy of calculation of the amount, to counsel for petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law if aggrieved of the calculation by moving a representation to the respondents accompanied by detailed calculation.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

In case the statement made today in Court is not adhered to, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition. In case the petitioner is forced for revival, costs may be imposed which shall be recovered from the defaulting officer/official.

In case a representation is made raising the grievance with regard to the calculation, same shall be disposed of by the respondents expeditiously in accordance with law after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

21.08.2019
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No