

409

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.258 of 2013
Date of Decision: 25.11.2019**

Gurnam Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Sukhchain Singh Gill, Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. A.G., Punjab.,

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

CRM-M No.29695 of 2012 was disposed of on 24.09.2012 directing the respondent No.2 to decide the representation of the petitioner and to take appropriate action in accordance with law. The contempt petition was filed pleading that needful has not been done.

During the pendency of contempt petition, reply dated 27.02.2013 was filed annexing report dated 28.11.2012 and order dated 10.12.2012.

Learned State counsel submits that in compliance of the direction of this Court, the complaint was looked into and order dated 10.12.2012 was passed. It was found that there was a dispute between two brothers for which various civil and criminal litigations were pending. No substance was found in the allegations leveled

by the complainant and accordingly the complaint was filed.

None has put in appearance for the petitioner. Even on the last date, petitioner was not represented.

The contempt petition is disposed of, the petitioner shall be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against order dated 10.12.2012.

However, petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition, if something survives.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

November 25, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No