

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 2451 of 2017

Date of Decision: 30.09.2019

Vinod Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

Naresh Goel

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. S.S. Sahu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the directions given by this Court in COCP No.203 of 2016 on 1.8.2016 have been complied with by passing order dated 29.10.2018. However, respondents instead of mentioning the date 29.5.2015 have mentioned as 20.09.2015 for sanctioning the earned leave.

Learned counsel for respondent states that said correction would be done within two weeks from today. He further states that monetary benefits arising shall be paid within four weeks thereafter.

In view of above, the contempt petition is disposed of.

In case, the statement made today in Court is not adhered to, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition and if the petitioner is forced to do so, costs may be imposed which shall be recoverable personally from the defaulting officer/official.

30.09.2019

reema

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**