

104 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA**
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.2462 of 2018

Date of Decision: 22.2.2019

Gurmukh Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Navjot Singh Mall

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of the order dated 6.2.2018, vide which, direction was issued to decide the representation of the petitioner.

Short reply has been filed. As per the said reply, speaking order dated 1.6.2018 (Annexure R-3/T) has been passed, vide which, representation of the petitioner has been considered. It was found that the petitioner wants to take possession of plot in dispute, for which the police cannot interfere.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is dismissed.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

However, at this stage, learned counsel of the petitioner states that possession of the plot is with him and he never asked for possession. All that he wanted that the opposite side should not threat him, when he visits the plot in dispute.

No specific direction was issued by this Court qua the same. Accordingly, the petitioner is at liberty to challenge the speaking order dated 1.6.2018 in accordance with law.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

22.2.2019
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No