

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 100 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 14.03.2019

Komal Singh and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

Sudha Rani and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. D.R. Bansal, Advocate,
for respondent no. 1.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Today, learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that what was required to be stated in the 2nd order impugned, i.e. the one dated 15.12.2018, was to the effect that demarcation be got conducted to determine as to how much of the suit property is to be handed over, after which warrants of possession for the property as has been decreed in favour of the decree-holder, would be issued for execution thereof.

That being so, this petition is disposed of with a direction that the petitioners as also the decree-holder would remain present at the suit property on 27.03.2019 at 11:00 p.m., with the learned execution Court to appoint a Local Commissioner to carry out the demarcation, with the petitioners undertaking to open the suit property on that date for such demarcation.

It is made clear that if the petitioners do not appear on that date or do not open the suit property, the Bailiff appointed by the execution Court would be authorized to break open the locks and get the demarcation conducted then, even in the absence of the petitioners.

The impugned order stands modified to that extent.

March 14, 2019
nitin

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes