

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 237 of 2018

Date of Decision: 02.07.2019

Balwinder Singh and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Ms. Jaspreet Talwar, IAS

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading intentional disobedience of order dated 2.8.2017 passed in CWP No. 12581 of 2014 whereby the writ petition filed by the petitioners was allowed.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

- "3. Further learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel have gracefully admitted that instant case is squarely covered within the four corners of judgments passed by this Court in **A.P. Sharma & ors. case (supra)** which has attained finality after the dismissal of Special Leave Petition vide order dated May 04, 2017 preferred by the State of Punjab & Ors. before the Hon'ble Apex Court as well as **Karanvir Singh & Ors. vs. State of Punjab & Ors.** in CWP No.2866 of 2014 decided on September 30, 2016 in view of **A.P. Sharma & ors. case (supra)**.
4. Accordingly, instant writ petition stands allowed in terms of judgments passed by this Court in cases **A.P. Sharma & ors. (supra)** and **Karanvir Singh & Ors. (supra)**."

The respondents have filed reply annexing order dated 25.1.2019 whereby the pension was recomputed. It was found that the pension being drawn by petitioners is more pension than the revised pension. It is submitted that the order of this Court has been complied with.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the order has wrongly been passed and their rights which arose in 2011 have been taken away on the basis of circular issued in 2017.

There is compliance of order of this Court. Rule issued against respondent is discharged.

Dismissed.

However, in case the petitioners have any grievance against the order dated 25.1.2019, it provide them a fresh cause of action and they would be at liberty to avail the remedy in accordance with law.

02.07.2019
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No