

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-520-2019

Date of decision: 11.01.2019

Satish Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

UHBVNL, Panchkula and others

...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. V.D. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral).

Learned counsel for the petitioner has referred to the office order dated 21.12.2012 (Annexure P-2) whereby the date of joining of the petitioner Satish Kumar who is at serial No. 3 has been taken to be as 31.10.1997. Keeping in view this order, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of 1st and 2nd ACP. He further contends that in the order dated 21.12.2012 (Annexure P-2), Vishnu Dutt, who is at serial No. 2, has been granted the benefit of 1st and 2nd ACP and the respondents have passed orders for granting 1st ACP after 10 years and 2nd ACP after 16 years (Annexure P-5 and P-6) with respect to other similarly situated employees.

A legal notice dated 23.09.2018 (Annexure P-8) has been sent in this regard.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents.

This petition is being disposed of by giving direction to the respondents to pass appropriate order on the legal notice dated 23.09.2018

(Annexure P-8) taking into account office order dated 21.12.2012
(Annexure P-2) fixing date of joining of the petitioner as 31.10.1997 within
a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

11.01.2019
Divyanshi

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No