

213

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2311 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.12.2019**

Ashok Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

Satish Chandra, IAS, Additional Chief Secretary, Department of
Urban Local Bodies, Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Kavita Arora, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The petitioner raised an industrial dispute in which award dated 13.09.2002 was passed and workman was found entitled to be made regular as *Sewadar*. It was ordered that he shall be entitled to pay scale of that post, however, arrears were restricted from 05.05.1998 i.e. date of demand notice. The respondents failed upto the Supreme Court in their challenge to the award. The petitioner filed CWP No.10357 of 2017 raising grievance that needful has not been done for implementing the award. The writ petition was disposed of on 15.05.2017 directing the Chairman, Improvement Trust, Pathankot to give effect to the award dated 13.09.2002. The needful was to be done within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

The contempt petition is filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 15.05.2017.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during the pendency of the contempt petition, payment of Rs. 14,12,678/- has been made but the detail of calculation of same is not being provided. He further submits that no interest is awarded for delayed payment.

Learned counsel for the respondent undertakes that details would be provided within four weeks from today.

In case representation is made to the respondents by the petitioner with regard to calculation of the amount, same would be dealt with by the respondents expeditiously, in accordance with law.

Needless to say that the petitioner, would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for claiming interest for the delayed payment.

In view of above, no further interference is called for in the contempt proceedings, the petition is disposed of.

Since the main case is disposed of, the pending application has been rendered infructuous.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

December 02, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No