

236

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2221 of 2018
Date of Decision: 04.09.2019**

Prem Lata

Petitioner

Versus

Nand Kumar Jain, President, Managing Committee, D.D. Jain
Memorial College for Women, Ludhiana and another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Sunil Chadha, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Swati Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitin Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

Learned counsel for the respondents has filed reply today
in the Court, same is taken on record. Copy of reply is handed over
to learned counsel for the petitioner.

The Writ Court while disposing of the petition on
08.12.2016, directed the respondents to supply to the petitioners
calculation and formula applied for calculating gratuity.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that details
have been mentioned in paragraph Nos. 2 and 3 of the reply.

In view of reply filed, the contempt petition is disposed of.

Needless to add that in case petitioner is aggrieved of the

calculation and formula, the liberty has already been granted by the Writ Court for revival of writ by filing an application.

The rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

September 04, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |