

228

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM No. 9817-9818-CII of 2019
in/and COCP No. 2202 of 2018
DECIDED ON: JULY 23, 2019

VINEY SURI

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

RAJIV RISHI & ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Arjun Partap Atma Ram, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Bhatia, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

CM Nos. 9817-9818-CII of 2019

Applications are allowed, as prayed for.

Annexure P-9 is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

Both the CMs stand disposed of.

COCP No. 2202 of 2018

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 25.08.2017 passed by this court in CWP No. 3579 of 1994.

It has been stated that the LPA as well as SLP preferred by the respondent against the order of this Court have been dismissed.

Learned counsel for the respondent has placed on record an affidavit of Sh. Ramesh Kataria, Sr. Manager, Central Bank of India Regional

Office, Rohtak alongwith two demand drafts bearing Nos. 087028 and 087033, dated 18.07.2019 amounting to ₹62,67,559/- and ₹4,62,698/- drawn on Central Bank of India have been produced. Original DDs have been handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner and photocopies thereof, has been taken on record.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that for releasing the gratuity certain papers has been sent to the petitioner. As soon as the same have been received, the gratuity will be released to the petitioner within six weeks, thereafter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that no interest has been paid. He submits that a representation has already been submitted and there is a difference in calculation also.

Disposed of as infructuous.

The Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

The petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies for the redressal of his grievances, in accordance with law.

However, there is no doubt that the representation moved by the petitioner would be considered by the respondents in accordance with law after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner by passing a speaking order as expeditiously as possible.

**JULY 23, 2019
sham**

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

***Whether speaking/reasoned* *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No***