

267

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.2235 of 2016
Date of Decision: 22.07.2019**

Swaran Singh

Petitioner

Versus

Gurmeet Singh

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. J.S. Thind, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A.P.S. Brar, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 22.08.2012 passed by this Court in writ petition bearing CWP No.11054 of 2012 filed in public interest for issuance of directions to the respondent No.3 (Civil Surgeon, Amritsar) to take appropriate action against Gurmit Singh Sodhi (respondent No.5 in the writ petition) who was running an unauthorized Clinic. The writ petition was disposed of on 22.08.2012. Relevant portion of the order is reproduced below:-

“In view of the submissions made by counsel for respondent No.5, this writ petition having become infructuous is disposed of. However, respondent No.3-Civil Surgeon, Amritsar is directed to verify as to whether respondent No.5 is still running the clinic in question and in case he is found doing so, necessary action be taken against him forthwith.”

Learned State counsel placed on record reply by way of affidavit of Dr. Hardip Singh, Civil Surgeon, Amritsar. It has been stated that in compliance with the order of this Court, repeated joint inspection and raids were carried out. Detail of dates, on which raids were conducted, has been tabulated. FIR No.125, dated 19.07.2011 was registered at Police Station Chheharta, Amritsar City. It is further pleaded that in the proceedings of FIR registered, Gurmit Singh Sodhi was acquitted. He has not been found running the Clinic.

Mr. Gurmit Singh Sodhi is present in the Court and verified by his counsel, he states that he has sold the said property on which M/s Sodhi Polyclinic was being run.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to raise grievance in accordance with law, if Gurmit Singh Sodhi (respondent No.1) still continues with his Clinic.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

The rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

July 22, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No