

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CRR-21-2019 (O&M)

Date of Decision : 07.02.2019

Virender Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sukhbir Singh Chandila

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

This revision has been filed against the concurrent judgments of the Courts below convicting the petitioner under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act for a period of six months alongwith compensation amount of Rs.9 lakhs, in default of which, to further suffer imprisonment for a period of three months.

Brief facts of the case are that the complainant-respondent filed a complaint under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 against the petitioner on the ground that he took an amount of Rs.5,50,000/- from the complainant for business purpose. However, on the date when the cheques were presented for encashment by the complainant towards the aforesaid liability the same were dishonoured. Consequently, the legal notice was issued to make the requisite payment. However, the petitioner did not make any payment and the instant complaint had been filed. The courts below after going through the evidence held the petitioner liable under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act as mentioned above.

Hence, the present petition.

I have heard the counsel for the petitioner and have also perused the impugned judgment and order quantum of sentence. I find no illegality and irregularity in the impugned judgment and order and therefore no interference of this Court is warranted.

Petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending criminal miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

February 07, 2019
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No