

COCN No. 2136 of 2018

DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 26, 2019

CHAMBER OF INDUSTRIES, GURGAON

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

T.L. SATYAPRAKASH AND ANR

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. Akshay Bansal, Advocate for
Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Advocate, for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 22.02.2018 passed in CWP No. 4292 of 2018.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

“ Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the fact that the writ petition essentially involves pure question of facts which can be determined by the authorities themselves, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to respondent Nos.2 & 3 to ascertain the claim made by the petitioner vide the above-stated representation and decide the same by way of a reasoned order, within a period of two months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.”

Learned State counsel has filed a short reply by way of an affidavit of Sh. Manoj Pal Singh, HOD (C&H), HSIDC, same is taken on record. Copy handed over to the counsel for the petitioner. Along-with the reply, an order

dated 29.05.2018 has been annexed whereby the claim of the petitioner has been rejected.

In view of reply, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition. However, he seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law against the order dated 29.05.2018.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

SEPTEMBER 26, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>