

229

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.2132 of 2018
Date of Decision: 10.09.2019

Seema Sharma @ Seema Devi

Petitioner

Versus

Bhupinder Singh, Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Gurdaspur
and another

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Pankaj Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.V.S. Lehal, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful
disobedience of order dated 15.12.2017 passed by this Court in
CWP No. 28529 of 2017.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced below:

*“3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction
to respondent(s) to consider the case as unfolded by the
petitioner in her representation dated 17.03.2015 (Annexure
P-5) and take a conscious decision, within a period of three
months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.*

*4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved
against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority,
she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.”*

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 has filed reply

today, same is taken on record. Copy of reply is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that claim of the petitioner has wrongly been rejected. She seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law, against the order passed.

In view of reply filed, the contempt petition is disposed of.

Needless to say that liberty has already been granted by the Writ Court that in case petitioner is aggrieved of the order passed by the respondent, she would be at liberty to approach this Court.

The rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

**[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE**

September 10, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |