

218

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-2115-2017

DATE OF DECISION: 09.09.2019

PRITHVI RAJ

.. PETITIONER

Versus

SATYENDER DUHAN AND ORS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Payel Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

In pursuance to order dated 23.05.2019, status/verification report by way of affidavit of Pankaj Saini, Executive Engineer, Municipal Corporation, Ambala, filed in Court today, is taken on record. A copy of same is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner. The relevant pleadings in the status/verification report is reproduced below:

“4) That there is no encroachment on the government land as alleged by the petitioner and the land/area, questioned by the petitioner is not a Govt. land and is incidental space allotted by Housing Board Haryana to Sushil Kumar and some of area in the same was left open by Sushil Kumar.”

It has been stated in the status report that the land in dispute, for which verification was sought in the previous order, was allotted to Sushil Kumar and he had left the same open. It has further been stated that

there is no encroachment on the government land as alleged by the petitioner.

In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

9th September, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*