

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 1997 of 2017

Date of Decision: 10.09.2019

Indu Bala

.....Petitioner

Versus

Navraj Sandhu

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Shailendra Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

This contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 13.1.2017 passed in CWP No. 866 of 2015.

The operational part of the order is reproduced below:

"In the circumstances, a writ of mandamus is issued for directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioner w.e.f. 07.07.1995 as Gram Sevika as per the policy of regularization dated 01.10.2003 and thereafter, compute the entire service of the petitioner as Craft Teacher w.e.f. 10.12.1975 followed by regularisation as a Craft Teacher including services of the petitioner as Gram Sevika and consider her case for grant of pension and other pensionary benefits and pass the necessary order within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. It is further ordered that interest on the arrears also be released to the petitioner from the date of filing of the present petition i.e. 17.01.2015 as per the government instructions till actual payment is made.

In view of the above, the petition stands allowed."

An order dated 2.9.2019 has been produced today in Court and the same is taken on record. Copy supplied to counsel for the petitioner.

As per the said order, the services of the petitioner from 10.12.1975 to 18.07.1995 has been counted excluding the period of break from 10.12.1975 to 07.04.1983.

Learned State counsel on instructions states that the monetary benefits in pursuance of the said order would be released to the petitioner within eight weeks from today.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that liberty be granted to the petitioner to avail remedy in accordance with law against the order dated 2.9.2019, so far as the period excluded by the respondents.

In view of the above, the contempt petition is disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

In case the monetary benefits are not credited in the account of the petitioner within eight weeks, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition and in case, the petitioner is forced to revive the contempt petition, exemplary costs may be imposed which shall be recoverable from the defaulting officer/official.

10.09.2019
reema

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No