

**207/2 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCN No. 1932 of 2018
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 13, 2019**

NAVEEN KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

SATISH KUMAR ROHILLA AND ANR

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Ms. Seema Arora, Advocate for
 Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Gaur, Advocate for
Mr. R.D.Gupta, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 19.01.2018 passed in CWP No. 1037 of 2018.

The operational part of the order is quoted below:-

“ Keeping in view the submission made by learned counsel for the petitioner and also that other similarly situated persons have filed petition and their petition has been disposed of with a direction to consider their representation, the present petition is also disposed of with a direction to respondent Nos.2 & 3 to consider the pending representation of the petitioner dated 12.01.2017 (Annexure P-7) and pass speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two months from date of receipt of certified copy of the order.”

Pursuant to notice of motion, reply was filed. Alongwith the reply an order dated 21.08.2019 was annexed.

In view of reply filed, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition, however, she seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law against the order dated 21.08.2019.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondents stand discharged.

SEPTEMBER 13, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>