

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No. 1963 of 2016

Date of Decision: 30.10.2019

Gram Panchyat through its Sarpanch,
village Sotha, Distrcit Kaithal, Haryana.

.....Petitioner

Versus

Tara Chand and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. A.P. Bhandari, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Verma, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Sr. DAG Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

There was a dispute between the Gram Panchayat village Sotha, Tehsil and District Kaithal and respondents with regard to possession of land falling in Khasra No. 26//8/2 and 101 Min measuring about 10 kanals 9 marlas.

In the writ petition filed by the Gram Panchayat the matter was settled that the Gram Panchayat would provide alternative land in Khasra No. 21//17 &18. There was a condition that the Gram Panchayat shall carve out two Karams wide passage from metaled road upto the afore-said land.

The present contempt petition has been filed by the Gram Panchayat raising a grievance that *inspite* of the matter being settled, the respondents are still holding possession of exchanged land. During the pendency of the contempt petition, reports were called with regard to

providing of rasta, the reports are on record and the status report dated 29.10.2019 filed today is also taken on record, copy handed over to counsel for respondents.

Learned counsel for respondents on instructions from his clients states that respondents are not having physical possession of land compromising in Khasra No. 26//8/2 and 101 Min.

In view of the afore-said statement, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present petition.

Needless to say that petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law to take over the possession of the said land.

Disposed of.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

30.10.2019
reema

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No