

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-1920-2018
Date of decision: 22.10.2019**

PADAM NABH

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

ASTHA MODI

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Renu Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

CRM-M No. 6168 of 2018 filed by the petitioner was disposed of on 15.02.2018. It was directed that in case, the petitioner filed a representation before respondent No. 2 (in the writ petition), the same shall be dealt with in accordance with law.

The present contempt petition filed pleading that needful has not been done.

Reply by way of affidavit of Ms. Astha Modi, Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, District Kaithal dated 24.09.2018 annexing order dated 30.06.2018. As per the said order, the matter has been looked into and the compliant has been filed with un-traced report.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the reply filed, no cause of action survives for pursuing the contempt petition. However, she seeks liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law against order dated 30.06.2018.

Disposed of with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondent is discharged.

22nd October, 2019
shabha

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*