213

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

****

COCP No.1915 of 2018
Date of Decision: 08.07.2019

Karam Chand Gupta

Petitioner

Versus

Vinod Kumar Sharma, Chairman, Punjab Water Supply and Sewerage Board, Chandigarh and another

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. V.K. Kaushal, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

****

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 09.11.2017 passed by this Court in CWP No.25564 of 2017. The operational part of this order is reproduced below:-

> "*4. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated November 13, 2016 (P-7) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, competent authorities comes to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed, same be released to him within a period of next 45 days. Factum of interest in view of judgment passed by Full Bench of this Court in case A.S. Randhawa vs. State of*

PANKAJ BAWEJA
2019.07.11 11:11
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
HIGH COURT, CHANDIGARH

Punjab & Ors., 1997 (3) SCT 468 and as per instructions dated May 10, 1990 issued by the Government of Punjab, be also considered on delayed payment(s).

5. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court."

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has filed reply today in the Court. In compliance with the order dated 02.07.2018, a copy of receipt acknowledging payment of ₹10,000/- in favour of High Court Lawyers Welfare Fund has also been produced.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 states that order dated 14.09.2018 has been annexed with the reply whereby conscious decision has been taken on representation dated 13.11.2016 of the petitioner, partly accepting the claim of the petitioner.

In view of order dated 14.09.2018 passed by the Chief Executive Officer, Punjab Water Supply and Sewerage Board, no further interference is required in the contempt petition.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous. The rule issued against the respondents is discharged.

Needless to add that petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law, if aggrieved of order passed by the respondent.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

July 08, 2019
pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/ No

PANKAJ BAWEJA
2019.07.11 11:11
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
HIGH COURT, CHANDIGARH