

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-316-2019

Date of decision: - 10.01.2019

Anil Kumar Sardana

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the order dated 09.05.2017 (Annexure P-3) passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India, the petitioner was entitled for the higher emoluments even while working as a President of District Consumer Forum.

Counsel for the petitioner states that for the relief which has been sought in the present writ petition, the petitioner has served respondents with a legal notice on 25.05.2018 (Annexure P-7), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said legal notice.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and the claim being made by the petitioner, the

respondents are directed to decide the legal notice dated 25.05.2018 (Annexure P-7) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case after the decision, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefit, the same shall also be released to him within a period of next three months.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

January 10, 2019
naresh.k

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No