

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-359 of 2019
Date of Decision: 20.05.2019

Mahant Shivraj	Versus	Petitioner
State of Punjab and others		...Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Bikramjeet Singh Jatana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. C.L. Pawar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Siddharth Gupta, Advocate
for respondents No. 4 to 14.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.(Oral)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C.
for issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life
and liberty of the petitioner and his family members at the hands of
respondents No. 4 to 14.

In pursuance of the notice of motion issued by this Court,
learned State counsel has filed the reply by way of affidavit of Sh.
Jasvir Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub Division
Phul, District Bathinda on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3/State in
the Court today. The same is taken on record.

Perusal of the reply reveals that on the basis of the
statement made by the petitioner, FIR No 32 dated 22.05.2016, under
Sections 452, 323, 109, 506, 148 and 149 of the Indian Penal Code, at
Police Station Sadar, Rampura was registered against Kaka s/o Balvir

Singh, Kulwant Singh s/o Gurnam Singh, Arshdeep Singh s/o Avtar Singh and Gurneelam Singh @ Lali s/o Navdeep Singh, but after investigation in the matter the allegations were found to be false, consequently recommended to close the same.

In view of the above, no further directions are required to be issued in the matter and the present petition is dismissed.

However, petitioner would be at liberty to take recourse to the remedies available to him under law against the above mentioned cancellation report.

[MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU]
JUDGE

May 20, 2019

rashmi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no