

COCP No. 1801 of 2018
DECIDED ON: JULY 08, 2019

RADHEY SHYAM

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

PIRMAL RAI AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN.

Present: Mr. J.C. Kapoor, Advocate
for the petitioner.Ms. Harjeet Kaur, Advocate for
Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 12.02.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 3137 of 2018. The operational part of the order is reproduced below:-

“ In response, learned counsel for the respondents submit that the claim of the petitioner as also his legal notice dated 12.05.2017 (Annexure P-5) and the reminder dated 05.09.2017 (Annexure P-6), shall be considered and dealt with, within a period of six weeks from today. And, appropriate orders, in accordance with law, shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner.

The petition is accordingly disposed of in the above terms. However, in the event the claim of the petitioner is declined, the respondent authorities shall pass a comprehensive order, after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, by assigning reasons in support thereof.”

Respondents have filed reply stating that in pursuance of order of this Court, order dated 27.07.2018 has been passed, rejecting the claim of the petitioner.

The direction of this Court has been complied with and no further order is required to be passed in contempt petition.

Disposed of as infructuous. The rule issued against the respondents is hereby discharged.

However, it is clarified that if there is any grievance against the order dated 27.07.2018, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies available in accordance with law.

JULY 08, 2019
sham

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>