

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 178 of 2017

Date of Decision: 30.09.2019

Jaswinder Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Shri Vimal Kumar Setia

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri Sandhu, Addl. AG Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J.(oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the order annexed with the reply, no cause of action survives for pursuing the present contempt petition, however, he seeks liberty to avail remedy in accordance with law.

At this stage, it would be pertinent to note that the record was called for as there was no date given on the order.

Learned counsel for the respondent fairly states that detailed order was not communicated earlier to filing of reply.

Disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

30.09.2019

reema

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No