

206

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.1705 of 2017
Date of Decision: 03.09.2019

Naresh Kumar

Petitioner

Versus

A. Vinu Parshad, Managing Director, PSPCL, Patiala and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. C.M. Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sehajbir Singh, Advocate
for the respondents.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

There is a dispute with regard to compliance of judgment dated 29.07.2016. The operational part of the order is reproduced below:-

“As a result of the foregoing discussion, the present writ petition is allowed. The respondents are directed to grant the increments for the adhoc service of the petitioner and also restore the stepping up of second time bound scale given to the petitioner and accordingly recalculate the pension and other retiral benefits and pay the same within three months. However, the arrears shall be restricted to 36 months on account of grant of increments for the adhoc service. Petitioner shall also be entitled to interest @ 6% per annum on the said arrears released to him.”

As per counsel for the respondents, the directions of this Court have been complied with.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that no amount has been paid for increments to be granted for adhoc services rendered by the petitioner. It is further stated that arrears were restricted to 36 months of adhoc service. The grievance raised is that interest has not been properly calculated.

As some factual aspects are required to be gone into, the respondent shall provide a detailed calculation of the amount released to the petitioner. Thereafter, the petitioner, if so advised, may move a representation to the respondent alongwith his calculation. The said representation would be decided by the Additional Superintending Engineer, PSPCL, Zirakpur in accordance with law after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner.

The contempt petition is disposed of with direction to the respondent that the calculation would be provided to the petitioner within three weeks from today and in case petitioner moves a representation, same would be decided within six weeks thereafter. If some amount is found due, same would be released to the petitioner expeditiously but not later than four weeks.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

September 03, 2019

pankaj baweja

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes / No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes / No