

259

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCp-1704-2018
Date of decision: 22.10.2019

SUBHASH CHANDER

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

MANDIP SINGH BRAR

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Raman B. Garg, Advocate and
Ms. Gitanjali Chhabra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Girdhar, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The writ petition filed by the petitioner for directions to the respondents to release all the retiral benefits was disposed of on 29.01.2018. This Court without dealing with the merits of the case directed the respondents to look into the grievance raised in the representation and decide the same in accordance with law. It was further ordered that the authorities shall also consider the claim of interest on the delayed payment in view of the judgment annexed as Annexure P-9 with the writ petition.

The contempt petition is filed pleading that needful has not been done by the respondent.

Pursuant to notice of motion, reply by way of affidavit dated 26.11.2018 was filed annexing order dated 01.06.2018. As per the said order, the claim raised in the writ petition has been decided, certain payments made and the claim with regard to the interest is rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the claim has not been accepted in entirety and the claim for interest wrongly rejected.

Learned counsel for the respondents pleads that needful has been done in compliance to the directions passed by this Court.

In view of the order passed, no case is made out for wilful disobedience. The contempt petition is disposed of.

It would be pertinent to note here that the writ court had already granted liberty to the petitioner to avail remedies in accordance with law.

Rule issued against the respondent is discharged.

22nd October, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*