

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 409 of 2019
Date of decision : 13.03.2019**

Arshdeep Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Lovish Mittal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ivan Singh Khosa, Advocate for the complainant.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Manjeet Singh, also affirms this fact.

However, learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the bail on the ground that the petitioner was also involved in commission of offence, whereas learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is married daughter of co-accused Balwinder Singh and only allegations against her is that she was present at the time of dispute.

Accordingly, interim order dated 14.01.2019 passed by this Court in favour of the petitioner is made absolute.

Disposed of.

13.03.2019

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No