

204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COC-1734-2016

Date of decision: 01.10.2019

VINOD KUMARI

.. PETITIONER

VERSUS

R.VENKAT RATNAM IAS, AND ANR.

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Neha Jain, Advocate for
Mr. K.S.Dadwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 14.03.2013 passed by this Court in CWP No. 4856 of 2011. The operative part of the order is reproduced below:

“In the light of the above, the impugned orders dated 22.7.2010 (Annexure P-2) and the order dated 15.2.2011 (Annexure P-5) are hereby quashed. Petitioner is declared eligible for consideration for promotion to the post of Senior Assistant. Respondents are directed to prepare a common seniority list of the persons for the posts belonging to the feeder cadre on the basis of their attaining eligibility for consideration for promotion and thereafter shall proceed to pass appropriate orders promoting them as per the determined seniority within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Writ petition stands allowed with the above observations and directions.”

Pursuant to notice of motion, a short reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Bhupinder Singh, IAS, Director State Transport, Punjab, Chandigarh, filed in Court today, is taken on record. A copy of the reply is handed over to learned counsel for the petitioner. With the reply order dated 14.02.2019 has been annexed wherein the petitioner has been promoted w.e.f. 22.07.2010.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Jagjeevan Singh, Law Officer, office of Director State Transport, Punjab, submits that consequential benefits would be paid to the petitioner within two weeks from today. It is submitted that the respondent shall also provide details of the calculation to the petitioner within four weeks.

In view of the statement made today in Court, the contempt petition is disposed of. The petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition, in case, the statement made by learned counsel for the respondents today in Court is not adhered to.

1st October, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*