

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-309 of 2019

Date of decision:9.1.2019

Prithvi Singh

...Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate for the petitioner.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

The petitioner has filed this second petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.224 dated 26.09.2018 registered for the offences under Sections 323, 341, 307 and 34 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Bhattu Kalan, District Fatehabad.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that the first bail petition of the petitioner has already been dismissed by this Court on merit by holding that it is a road rage case and the present petitioner was driving one i20 car. The co-accused has fired shots from the pistol on the complainant. Even the injuries were inflicted with the butt of the pistol etc., though. the fire shot

[2]

has not hit anybody.

Now learned counsel for the petitioner argued that a compromise has taken place in the present case. Rather, this fact shows that the petitioner is tampering with the evidence. Hence, no ground is made out for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, finding no merit in this petition, the same is dismissed.

January 9, 2019.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp

NOTE:	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
	Whether reportable:	No