

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No. 52 of 2019

Date of Decision: 09.01.2019

Raj Kumar

.....Petitioner

Vs.

Abdul Rahim and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Anil Kumar Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By this petition, the petitioner challenges the order of the learned Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal, Nuh, dated 20.11.2018, by which the evidence of the petitioner-claimant has been closed.

Though otherwise there would be no reason to interfere with the impugned order, it having been stated therein that no PW was present despite a last opportunity given and prior thereto also several opportunities having been granted, however, learned counsel for the petitioner has also placed on record the orders passed prior to the impugned order, on 08.08.2018 and 08.10.2018 (copies Annexures P-2 and P-3 respectively), to submit that actually three opportunities had been granted, which of course the petitioner did not avail, but that was on account of some neurology problem that he had, in support of which he has annexed prescriptions made by a neurosurgeon (private practitioner) on 16.11.2018 and 03.12.2018 (Annexure P-4 colly).

Though in the said prescriptions also it is stated that there is “No

Neurological Deficit”, yet simply in the interest of justice, the petitioner is granted three opportunities to lead and conclude his entire evidence, with the time limit for availing such three opportunities left to the discretion of the learned Tribunal.

Disposed of.

January 09, 2019
nitin/dinesh

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.